

Exam for **Fundamental Theory of Statistical Inference, 2025.**

You should answer *all* parts, which are equally weighted.

(1) Consider testing two simple hypotheses $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ against $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$, with action space $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}$, where $a = 0$ and $a = 1$ correspond respectively to acceptance and rejection of H_0 . Consider the loss function

$$L(\theta, a) = \begin{cases} K_0 a, & \theta = \theta_0, \\ K_1(1 - a), & \theta = \theta_1, \end{cases}$$

for constants $K_0, K_1 > 0$. Assume prior probabilities $\pi_0 > 0$ and $\pi_1 = 1 - \pi_0 > 0$ for H_0 and H_1 respectively.

(a) Derive the Bayes rule for this inference problem.

(b) Interpret the Bayes rule in terms of the frequentist approach to testing. Is it a likelihood ratio test? What is the critical value of the corresponding test statistic?

(c) Show that any likelihood ratio test which rejects H_0 if $f(y; \theta_1)/f(y; \theta_0) \geq C, C \geq 0$, is admissible for the assumed form of loss function.

(d) Let α and β be the Type 1 and Type 2 error probabilities of such a likelihood ratio test. Show that, if the critical value C of the test is fixed so that $K_0\alpha = K_1\beta$, then it is also a minimax test.

(2) Let $Y_1, \dots, Y_p$ ($p > 2$) be independent random variables such that $Y_i \sim N(\theta_i, 1)$. Write $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_p)^T$ and $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p)^T$. Let $\hat{\theta} \equiv \hat{\theta}(Y) = (\hat{\theta}_1(Y), \dots, \hat{\theta}_p(Y))^T$ be an estimator of θ . Further, let $g(Y) \equiv (g_1(Y), \dots, g_p(Y))^T = \hat{\theta} - Y$ and $D_i(Y) = \partial g_i(Y)/\partial Y_i$.

(a) Show that

$$\hat{R}(Y) = p + 2 \sum_{i=1}^p D_i(Y) + \sum_{i=1}^p \{g_i(Y)\}^2$$

is an unbiased estimator of the risk of $\hat{\theta}$, under squared error loss $L(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^p (\hat{\theta}_i - \theta_i)^2$.

(b) Suppose the estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is of the form $\hat{\theta} = bY$, for $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Find the value b^* of b that minimises the unbiased risk estimator $\hat{R}(Y)$. Compare the estimator b^*Y with the James-Stein estimator.

CONTINUED

(c) The *soft threshold estimator* is defined by

$$\hat{\theta}_i = \begin{cases} Y_i + \lambda, & \text{if } Y_i < -\lambda \\ 0, & \text{if } -\lambda \leq Y_i \leq \lambda \\ Y_i - \lambda, & \text{if } Y_i > \lambda, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant, to be specified. Show that for this estimator

$$\hat{R}(Y) = p + \sum_{i=1}^p \{-2I(|Y_i| \leq \lambda) + \min(Y_i^2, \lambda^2)\}.$$

[Here, $I(A) = 1$ if A holds, $= 0$ otherwise.]

It has been suggested that a suitable choice of the value of λ is that which minimises $\hat{R}(Y)$. Explain why determining this value only requires examining the value of $\hat{R}(Y)$ at a finite number of values of λ .

(d) Find the form of the estimator $\hat{\theta}^P$ that minimises the penalized sum of squares

$$\sum_{i=1}^p (Y_i - \theta_i)^2 + \lambda^2 J(\theta),$$

with $J(\theta) = |\{\theta_i : \theta_i \neq 0\}|$, the number of non-zero elements of θ .

Calculate the risk of $\hat{\theta}^P$ for the case $p = 1$. When is this estimator preferable to the unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta} \equiv Y$? Quantify your answer for $\lambda = 1, 2, 5$.

(3) ‘There are serious difficulties in an approach to statistical inference based on the repeated sampling principle that does not take account of a conditionality principle’. Discuss, in no more than 300–400 words.